

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/763,681		01/23/2004	Salvatore Atzeni	ATZENI-NP	7482	
545	7590	01/24/2006		EXAMINER		
ROGER I	PITT		ALLEN, STEPHONE B			
KIRKPAT	RICK &	LOCKHART NICH	OLSON GRAHAM LLP			
599 LEXIN	NGTON A	AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
33RD FLOOR				2878		
NEW YORK, NY 10022-6030				DATE MAILED: 01/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		a.C	L
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/763,681	ATZENI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
<u> </u>	Stephone B. Allen	2878	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pr		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 and 24-26 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 20-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is of	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv a (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takaoka (6,496,267) in view of Sevick-Muraca et al. (5,865,754) hereinafter (Sevick-Muraca).

With respect to claim 20, Takaoka discloses an apparatus for performing fluorescence measurements, comprising: a light source 1 generating laser excitation energy oriented to illuminate a sample 5 to be measured and cause said sample to emit fluorescent energy; a frequency generator 3a, 3b generating a plurality of modulation frequencies and a plurality of heterodyne frequencies, each of said heterodyne frequencies being associated with one of said modulation frequencies the frequency generator being coupled to the excitation source, whereby the source generates excitation energy modulated in intensity in proportion to the modulation frequencies; and optical member 2 positioned to receive the laser excitation energy and divert a portion of the laser excitation energy, the portion of the laser excitation energy forming a reference laser excitation signal; focusing optics 4 positioned to receive the fluorescent energy and form an image modulated with the plurality of modulation frequencies; detector elements 9 ,11 receive the image; and a calculating device 13 coupled to said

measurement signals, the heterodyne signals and the reference laser excitation signals and configured to extract phase and the modulation information (column 3, line 5 – column 5, line 67).

Takaoka is silent as to the inclusion of an image intensifier positioned to receive said image and a multi-element optical detector positioned to receive the intensified image modulated with said plurality of modulation frequencies and generating response thereto a plurality of measurement signals. Sevick-Muraca et al (column 4, lines 6-17) disclose a fluorescence imaging system that uses a image intensifier and multi-element optical detector (CCD) as a means of receiving the image and generating a plurality of measurement signals based on the received image. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Takaoka to include a detector arrangement as disclosed in Sevick-Muraca in order to increase accuracy in detecting the fluorescence form the sample.

With respect to claim 21, the modified Takoaka discloses wherein the calculating means is a computer.

With respect to claims 22 and 23, the modified Takoaka discloses wherein the focusing optics is confocal microscope optics.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-19 and 24-26 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephone B. Allen whose telephone number is 571-272-2434. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 08:30-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 571-272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephone B Allen Frimary Examiner

Art Unit 2878